



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/816,699	03/23/2001	Shell S. Simpson	10008091-1	5921		
75	90 11/10/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			GARCIA, G	GARCIA, GABRIEL I		
Intellectual Property Administration						
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, Co	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2009	DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/816,699	SIMPSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gabriel I. Garcia	2624		

·	Gabriel I. Garcia	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing</u> date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	be filed within the time period set it	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).				
AIVIENDIVIENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b							
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTQ-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
GABRIEL GARCIA							
	WIND HEL GARUM						

" GABRIEL GARCIÁ PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it relies on the amendment not being entered. Applicant's argument(s) are not found to be persuasive. Examiner maintains that prior art of record teaches the claimed invention as recited by the pending claims.